

929.273
B28414

Robert Bartlett -
by Marian Longfellow
Hist. of "Loc. of Desc. of Robert Bartlett
of Plymouth, Mass." Inc. 11 Dec. 1909

Xerox - Jan. 27. 1965 - S. I. Gen. Library

MRS. DOROTHY D. HALL
815 EAST 4TH NORTH
SPRINGVILLE, UTAH

In closing what may have been a long and somewhat tedious report, let me voice the hope that we may so wield the influence that is ours, and so cherish and amplify the commendable virtues displayed in the lives of our ancestors that our children's children, and their children's children in turn, may point to this Society of the Descendants of Robert Bartlett and Mary Warren, his wife, as having been a potent factor in the preservation and conservation of all that should be the true American's proudest boast — descent from honorable men and women; life that shall preserve the integrity of that descent, and a hopeful looking forward to that work being carried on by a posterity that shall in no whit lack the virtues, the ideals, and the achievements of their *Pilgrim*, their *Puritan*, and their *Huguenot* ancestry.

MARIAN LONGFELLOW.

The Historian's address was followed by a paper by the President, entitled:

THE WARRENS

BY LUCIUS WARREN BARTLETT

Reviewing an article on Richard Warren, Twelfth Signer, in a work entitled, "The Mayflower Signers," by Annie Arnoux Haxtun. Reprinted from the *Mail and Express*, New York, 1896.

Mrs. Haxtun's article is founded, I think, mainly (for no authorities are quoted) on a chart of the Harlerian Society of

Warren
P 8a (1)

Visitations of the county of Devonshire, England, dated 1620, which she has incorporated in her article.

She commences as follows: "Stern facts must be accepted in writing history." This is a statement to which we will all agree, therefore I will incorporate here the same chart of the Harlerian Society.

Mrs. Haxtun proceeds thus: "Willing or otherwise the records prove that Richard Warren the Pilgrim cannot be placed in any certainty in regard to his family relations, before his advent in the colonies as one of the Mayflower Pilgrims. Certain it is" (please note what she says is certain) "that he came in this ship and was followed later on by his wife Elizabeth and his daughters."

Mrs. Haxtun further says, "The confusion in regard to him arises from the fact that there was another Warren in the colonies and who had the honor of being the son of Christopher Warren, and the pleasure of having Elizabeth Jouat, widow of — Marsh for his wife.

"There the story as told us of the Pilgrim ends, their children being John and Richard."

Before proceeding further let us see what this chart of Visitations says. Richard Warren (22) of Greenwich, in Kent, son of Christopher (21) and Alice (Webb) Warren, married Elizabeth Jouat relict of — Marsh and had Richard and John.

Now, if stern facts must be accepted, as Mrs. Haxtun says, it occurs to me that if Richard Warren the Pilgrim was not the son of Christopher and Alice (Webb) Warren who as she says "Was followed later on by his wife Elizabeth and his daughters," then his wife Elizabeth who came in the *Ann* was *not* Elizabeth Jouat, widow of — Marsh, who, according to the chart, married Richard Warren (22) son of Christopher, and further that the Richard Warren (22) who did marry Elizabeth Jouat must have been the other Warren in the colonies, who had the honor of being the son of Christopher and of having Elizabeth Jouat Marsh for his wife.

Mrs. Haxtun has thus created two families, Richard the Pilgrim and his wife Elizabeth, daughter of somebody, who came in the *Ann* with her daughters, and Richard Warren, son of Christopher, who did marry Elizabeth Jouat relict of Marsh and came to the colonies sometime and somewhere and had two sons in England, John and Richard.

To quote further she says, "Richard and Joseph repeat themselves in both families," (referring, I suppose, to the families just mentioned) "then there is Nathaniel's."

Again she says, "Why should he not have been Richard Warren recorded as sheriff of Coventry, 1610, having five